

NEW-YORK CHRISTIAN MESSENGER AND PHILADELPHIA UNIVERSALIST.

"HOW BEAUTIFUL UPON THE MOUNTAINS, ARE THE FEET OF HIM THAT BRINGETH GOOD TIDINGS, THAT PUBLISHETH PEACE."—Isa. lii, 7.

VOL. III.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1834.

NO. 52.

PUBLISHED SIMULTANEOUSLY IN NEW-YORK
AND PHILADELPHIA.

EDITED BY
T. J. Sawyer, A. C. Thomas, and P. Price.

P. PRICE, PUBLISHER AND PROPRIETOR.

TERMS—Two Dollars per ann. in advance, or \$2.50 if not paid within six months. Publishing offices No. 2 Marble-Building, Chatham-Square, (East of the Bowery) New-York, and No. 132 Chestnut-Street, Philadelphia.

Letters to be addressed, (post paid) "P. Price, No. 2 Chatham-Square, New-York."

SKETCH SERMON.

BY RUSSELL STREETER.

For God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, &c. John. iii, 16.

Much is said in the scriptures, and among theologians and others, about the love of God to his offspring. The Bible declares, in so many words, **God is love**. A sublime declaration! a glorious truth! And yet there is an astonishing diversity of opinion in the religious world, as to what is really meant by the love of God to his rational creature. Innumerable are the conjectures upon this subject. Metaphysicians have severely taxed their abilities, and exhausted the resources of their ingenuity, in framing theories upon this glorious, and yet, to them, mysterious subject.

But it has occurred to me, my friends, in my retired and prayerful meditations, that a method may be very conveniently adopted, by which we may come to a safe and almost certain conclusion. The method I would propose, is this; ascertain what is meant by love or benevolence in some other being.

How do we know, for instance, that parents love their children? If we can answer this question, we can that, also, which relates to the love of Deity.

Now we know that parents love their offspring, because they form benevolent designs towards them, and endeavor to promote their welfare.—Were they to *profess* ever so much kindness and good will, and yet never do any thing for the real benefit of their children, should we believe them sincere? Certainly not. Hence, it is by their fruits, their efforts, their works, that we decide in this case. Real unaffected love would induce the parent to confer upon the child, the greatest possible degree of happiness.

And is it not safe to apply this rule of reasoning to the great Author of our being, the Father of our spirits? Surely. Let the inquiry, therefore, be made, What has the Deity done for the children of his creation, which demonstrates his love, in various degrees, and even the highest degree?

If I say he is good and benevolent as our Creator, Preserver and Benefactor, it may be replied, perhaps, that we grant it to a certain extent. God's love is manifest in the organization of the human system—in shines and showers—seed-time and harvest—general health and happiness. But still there is a deficiency. Love is not manifested in a superlative degree. The sun is sometimes hid when we seem to need his beams; the rains are often withheld, and then come down in drenching rather than refreshing showers. The earth is not always abundantly fruitful, and health and happiness are very unequally and imperfectly enjoyed.—And, besides, God has, to say the least, permitted the introduction of sin and condem-

nation into this otherwise beautiful moral world. The life we now enjoy is temporary, at least, and must be followed by death and the grave.

Although we admit, then, that God is benevolent, how shall we know that he is love in the highest and most glorious sense? How shall my anxious mind be satisfied that his benevolence is not limited to the narrow bounds of time, and exhausted in the bestowment of this life's blessings and enjoyments?

In answer to these inquiries, we must direct our attention to the words of the text.

"*God so loved the world.*" How? "That he gave his only begotten Son." For what purpose? "That whosoever believeth in him might not perish but have everlasting life."—Here, then, is the manifestation required; the very exhibition which is necessary to fill up the above named deficiency, and render the evidence full and perfect that God loves the world in the highest degree.

The subject may be rendered more clear and striking, perhaps, by devoting a moment's attention to some collateral testimony from the scriptures. It will appear, upon due examination, that God manifested his love to a sinful, unbelieving, ungodly world. His object was to reconcile and save the world, and bring all to the participation of everlasting life. Hence we are told, "God sent not his son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be saved." That this is a salvation from sin and its consequences, is too generally admitted to require proof in this place. Christ died for the ungodly, the wicked—enemies to God by wicked works. "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly. For scarcely for a righteous man will one die, &c.; but God commendeth his love toward us, in that, while we were yet *sinners* Christ died for us." And the venerable John considers this commendation or recommendation, as the most direct and unequivocal proof that God is love. His language is remarkably forcible.

"*Herein is love,*" saith he, "not that we love God, but that he loved us, and sent his son to be the *propitiation for our sins.*" "And if any man sin, we have an advocate with the Father Jesus Christ the righteous. And he is the propitiation for our sins, and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world."

Such, my friends, is the scripture testimony concerning the **LOVE OF GOD**—the Father and Friend of human kind. Make your own comments, draw your own inferences; but O, neglect not to admire and adore the immensity of unborn and undying **LOVE**!

On the part of the Almighty there must have been a special or specified object, some grand end, or aim, in the advent and ministry of his son. That sublime and definite object was, that *the world through Jesus might have everlasting life*. This life everlasting, in the proper sense of the term, may imply the life of the resurrection state, though that be not its most common import in the scriptures. This life is possessed or anticipated by a true and living faith; and hence the believer is said to have eternal life, even in the present state of existence. But I apprehend that the reality—the substance—the blessing promised of God through his son is incorruptible life and ever-increasing bliss in an immortal, celestial constitution. As

saith St. Paul, "The wages of sin is **death**; but the gift of God is **eternal life** through Christ Jesus our Lord." And "where sin abounded grace did much more abound; that as sin hath reigned unto death, even so might grace reign through righteousness unto eternal life."

We may be reminded, perhaps, that those, and those only, who believe in Christ, are saved from perishing, and enjoy, in this world, life everlasting. Granted; and what then? Why, it only proves that our views are substantially correct. We have argued that those who believe in the Lord Jesus Christ, anticipate, and by faith, enjoy, their ultimate immortality. But the impenitent and unbelieving, so long as they remain in that situation, do perish in a certain sense. As said the prodigal, they "perish with hunger"—"perish for lack of vision," and are shut out from the light of divine benevolence and glory.

But blessed be God, they shall not perish forever or eternally. The mighty Savior shall finally triumph in the cause of salvation, for the Father hath given him power over all flesh, that he should give eternal life to as many as the Father hath given him. He shall see of the travail of his soul and be satisfied.—*N. H. Star and Universalist.*

INCREASE OF UNIVERSALISTS.

It is well known to the community that there has been a great accession in numbers to the Universalist denomination within a few years past. In places where not more than two or three individuals dared openly avow their belief in the salvation of a world of sinners, five years ago, now respectable societies are formed, and flourishing under the stated labors of a minister of impartial grace. Liberal sentiments seem to prevail with great rapidity among all classes of community. This is a source of gratulation to the sincere believer in the doctrine of Divine love. With how much apparent joy does the old Universalist contemplate the condition of our Zion, while he contrasts it with what it was in years long past! when all opposed the doctrine as the rankest error.

I was recently conversing with a venerable father in Israel on our present condition and prospects, who observed that his expectations were more than realized in the rapid increase in numbers of those who believe the doctrine.

After having related what the condition of our doctrine was in years long past within his memory, and having made several reflections on what it soon will be, he said—'After all, I am not so well satisfied with the moral condition of our Societies, as I am with their numerical.

How far our religion is from teaching or countenancing licentiousness! how directly calculated to encourage and foster every moral and devout sentiment in the heart of the understanding believer! how happily fitted to fill the heart with love to God and love to man. But still there are those who do not honor the doctrine with well ordered lives. How great the reproach! How any man that is a Universalist, can use profane speech, or drink intemperately, or be otherwise openly immoral, I cannot tell. Our denomination can never make our opposers think favorably of us, as a christian people, till vice shall be put far from us.'

I could not but acknowledge that his reflections upon the practical tendency of Universal-

ism were just; for no sentiment is farther removed from licentiousness than this. 'Tis too true, methought, that many who make a great noise about Universal grace, do it no service—do not present it to the acceptance of others with that argument that is convincing. It is said that Universalists are as virtuous and moral as a people, as any denomination taken collectively.—We grant this to be true. But is that enough? Is it enough for us who believe in the doctrine that God is really the father of all mankind, and that all men are brethren, to do no more than others, who entertain the opinion that they are the godly people, and the *only* people of God? Ought we to be content with living as well as they, whose doctrine we constantly affirm to be immoral in its tendency? The truth is, that while many of those who embrace a bad system, are shining examples of christian meekness and humility, we with all the holy influence of our religion to urge us to duty are compelled to acknowledge that too many of us fall far short of the requisitions of the gospel of Jesus Christ.

If the object of a gospel ministry is to enlighten, reform, and elevate the human mind, then it is just as well for men to remain Mahomedans, Pagans, Atheists, or any thing else, as to profess to be Universalists, whilst their conduct gives the lie to their profession. One religion is just as advantageous as another, if there is no practical influence arising; and unless our faith makes us better christians, it is no better than any other. And certainly this is the argument which we must use, to induce our fellow men to embrace our religion. All the business in our world is carried on with the intention of making ourselves by an exchange of property. And we never can induce a man to part with one commodity for another, unless we can convince him that he is a gainer by the bargain. Equally so in matters of religion. We do not feel desirous that men should exchange a partial for an impartial religion, unless we can discover some practical benefits.—*Impartialist.*

Original.

ZEAL.

It is good to be zealously affected always in a good thing. Gal. iv. 18.

There is nothing more commendable than zeal in a good cause, and yet it is a fact that men are lamentably deficient in advancing such measures as are most for the public good. They bestow great labor and wealth on things that are of a perishing and temporal nature, while those of a more durable kind are sadly neglected. How many there are, for instance, in society who are well convinced of the truth of the doctrine of the salvation of the world, and yet do nothing for its advancement. Such men are found every where. It is a fact that the orthodox do more for their doctrine which they hope will turn out to be false than Universalists do for their's, which the world hopes will turn out to be true. Why is it that men labor more to support error, than they do to support the truth? It may be that the former needs more exertion. But ought not professed Universalists to feel ashamed, when they see their opposers doing so much more than they are? The zeal of those who believe in endless misery, is untiring. They adopt every measure that is calculated to advance the horrid doctrine, while Universalists look on and make little or no effort to advance the doctrine of impartial grace. Oh! if we, as a denomination, would arouse from our slumbers, what mighty effects we might produce. True, much has been accomplished, but much remains to be done. There is a cold hearted and cruel indifference among us which must be removed. Let us tell the world no longer of the glory and excellency of Universalism. Let us first stir up our own minds to the great work.

We have given our opposers too much opportunity to slander us. We have been inactive too long. Let us then begin now. 'Now is the accepted time: now is the day of salvation.' Let every measure be adopted that is fair and honorable, and success must crown our endeavors. We have the prayers of the world, and the desires of all good beings throughout the universe on our side. Let us march on then to the greatest victory ever obtained in our world; a victory over sin and error; and let our motto be Onward! Onward!! C. S.

GIFTS AND REWARDS.

BY HOSEA BALLOU.

Many temporal enjoyments are God's gifts, independent of the right use of our moral or physical powers. Our existence, the wonderful organization of our corporeal system, the sun, the earth, and all the elements of nature, together with the invaluable relations in which we first breathe the vital air, and thousands of others, God has bestowed on us without the least regard to any merit seen in our good deeds. But we should not be unmindful that such free, unmerited favor imposes on us obligations to gratitude and obedience, which correspond with such goodness. But there are also many enjoyments, the sweets of which are indescribable, which must, of necessity, depend on the use we make of the abilities and capacities which our Creator has given us. There is a satisfaction in acquiring and possessing a comfortable competency of the good things of the present life; but this enjoyment is the fruit of industry and prudence; and unless the tree be cultivated, the fruit cannot be enjoyed. The sweets of friendship, domestic enjoyments, the affectionate endearments of family connexions, and the endless train of social felicities, are so many plants which require the soil in which they grow, to be faithfully tilled, and a constant application of the hand of culture. And whoever expects these enjoyments without the virtues in which alone they are found, has miserably deceived himself, and must be disappointed in his expectations.

The invaluable sweets of an approving conscience, without which, nothing on earth can be enjoyed, require a prayerful watchfulness, an inflexible determination, and a sincere and supreme regard for moral right. To every soul that comes short of this attainment, there is 'tribulation and anguish.' Our heavenly Father has provided no means, neither in his law, nor in his gospel, to render us happy, while we rebel against our own duty. But let us ask, has God made any provision for man to exist in another state, in a constitution differing from our present state, as incorruption differs from corruption; as immortality differs from mortality; as glory differs from dishonor; as power differs from weakness; and as spiritual differs from natural? If so, by what means are we to obtain such a state? Our present state, together with all the means we have to multiply and secure enjoyments, was the gift of our Creator, independent of any merit of ours. Is it reasonable then, to suppose that the next state of existence, and such a state as above described, which is infinitely better than the present, is to be obtained as a reward for our piety and devotion, in this life? As well might we expect, by cultivating the earth in which we live, to procure for ourselves a world, a thousand times as large as this, furnished with a sun and moon, corresponding to its size, and to remain forever. Thus deluded, and with such expectations, we might feel the vast importance of being vigilant and persevering in cultivating our fields and gardens; not for the sake of any recompense to be had from the lands thus improved; but for the sake of that *great world*, which we hoped to make our own, by our faithfulness in irksome labor and wearisome toil.

THE POWERS AND DESTINY OF MAN

"God created man in his own image."

What a moment was that when man became a living soul; an intellectual being rises out of the dust; an immortal mind is borne into the world to preside over this lower creation; and the inspiration of the Almighty gives him understanding. The human mind emanates from the divine intelligence; the image of the divine glory is stamped upon this noblest of God's earthly works. Look through all nature, and what gift of the Creator is so brilliant as the human mind. How subtle, yet how wonderful in its operations; how diversified in its talents; how bold in its conceptions; how mighty in its effects. Surveying places far beyond the reach of human sense: controlling events over which physical force is impotent;—erecting monuments upon which the revolutions of time effect no change; measuring the material universe in its bold calculations; penetrating even into the presence chamber of the divine glory;—and presuming to scan the perfections of that great Being, who reigns in his own glory undivided and supreme throughout the universe. More than this; higher than all this; moral in its nature:—capable of an assimilation in its character and progress to that Being who is purity itself and goodness itself; clothed with the attributes of the divine immortality; destined to live to think, to feel, to act, to go onwards and upwards, when every thing earthly and sensual shall perish; and now, while yet on earth, by the dictates of reason, by the power of conscience, and by all the eloquence of religious faith, exhorted to fix its eye heavenward, to expand its powers, and to plume itself for an eternal flight.—*Colman.*

LOVE'S GIFTS.

It is the native dialect of love, to reveal its complacence by gifts. The child presents its favorite teacher with a fresh flower. It hastens to its mother with the first, best rose from its little garden; and in the kiss to its father, with which it resigns itself to sleep, gives away its whole heart. The mother willingly trusts to her chosen protector, "her all of earth—perchance her all of heaven." Why should a mother give with such bitter repining her infant to her God?

Does she say it was unsullied and beautiful? Love delights in yielding the best gifts to the best beloved. Would she prefer to have withheld it, till it had become less lovely?

Love rejoices to place its object in the most eligible situations. "Being evil, we yet know how to give good gifts to our children." We are pleased to see them in the pursuit of knowledge, in the path of virtue, in possession of the esteem of the great and good. In sending them from home, we seek to secure for them the advantages of refined society, the superintendence of friends, & wisdom and piety. If a nobleman were to adopt them, if they were to have a mansion with princes, should we not be grateful for the honor? Why, then, with such unspeakable reluctance do we see them go to be an angel among angels, and to dwell gloriously in the presence of "God, the Judge of all, and the spirits of just men made perfect?"—*Mrs. Sigourney.*

We are taught to be well read in books, in pictures, in algebra, in heraldry, and not in men. Connoisseurs are rapt with admiration at sight of a Savoyard's head, painted by *Grouze*; but the Savoyard himself is at the corner of the street, speaking, walking, almost frozen to death, and no one minds him. That mother, with her children around her, forms a charming group; the picture is invaluable: The originals are in a neighboring garret, without a farthing whereupon to subsist.—*St. Pierre.*

MESSENGER & UNIVERSALIST.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1834.

The services in Richard-st. and Greenwich Churches, will commence in the afternoon at 3, and in the evening at 7 o'clock, until notice.

CLOSE OF THE VOLUME.

The present No. closes the third volume of our paper, and with it undoubtedly will our intercourse with more or less of our patrons. Measures conspire to produce this latter result. One may tribe for the paper through a mere idle curiosity to know what these "babblers can say," and this curiosity is soon satisfied. Six months or a year at farthest, will see.

Another takes it with confidence expectation, (and this expectation is formed by the reiterated assertions of opposers,) that it is going to oppose every thing, under the name and form of religion and this one very soon becomes dis-satisfied. The *not* what he expected—there is *not* *fire*, there is *not* *spacious* in it to suit the temperature of his feelings. *have* had some decline it, assigning to their acquaintance the reason, that *there was too much religion in it!* Now have been led, whether foolishly or not, to look upon an affirmation as an excellent recommendation in its. It shows to us conclusively that the oft repeated *ason* of our opposers, that Universalism and Infidelity are *and* the same, is wholly untrue.

Another may prize it for a six or a twelve month, and *he knows all it can tell him!* *He has been over the WHOLE ground!* *You can tell him any thing new!* and therefore it is useless for him to put his money for nothing! We always pity the *who* gets beyond instruction, even from the humblest individual in the great social compact. There are various others all combining to the same end, (the separation of *editors* and their *readers*.) Fortune, who but a day since, as we, was all smiles, may now be frowning—adversity may lay her heavy hand upon some, compelling them to quash what otherwise would be so essential to their *reli*s and intellectual enjoyment. We have been specially *selected* of cases of this character, and we had almost deemed to send them the paper for their very frankness and honesty. Were we not too poor ourselves, they should *not* be in welcome for a time, at least.

There is *an* cause of separation, not by any means the most *unimpo*, which comes at all times and seasons, and under all circumstances—it is *death*. Here is a cause which pays respect to class or condition. Though we may be *spare* all our readers are not. The high and the low, the rich and the poor, the fortunate and unfortunate, all, all alike to its mandate. And fearful havoc does it sometimes! We think it manifests itself to an unusual degree our books for the last volume. Many are the instances so small a list, in which the chapter is closed with the *leerless* word—*Dead!* To one case of a peculiar *char* we may be permitted to allude. About the commencement of the volume one of our patrons, a married *ind*. The paper was continued to the widow. Some 7 months afterwards she called at the office, (in all the *blo* health and activity,) desiring us to change the direction of the paper to a No. where we already had a subscriber; after some observations to that effect, we were informed she was just married to the individual who was *tak* there. Within a few weeks the husband called and informed us that he had just followed his wife (the lady of whom we have spoken) to the grave, and that it was just over, to a day, since he had buried a former companion, had died to all appearance with the very same complaint. In a month or six weeks from this time, the *sol* news reached us that he, also, had departed this life suddenly. Thus within the short space of one year four individuals, connected by the closest ties that can *unearth* to heart, were conveyed to their long resting place, now true it is, that in the midst of life we are in death.

These perhaps give so forcible an illustration of the uncertainty of life, as the one we are considering. Conduct of periodicals become in a degree familiar with their trade. Patrons are a kind of wide-spread family to them; and a grim messenger strikes from the number here and there, it is like the breaches made in a family circle. However the record with a melancholy feeling, from the evidence presents that man is indeed born to die. Tho' many of us who are gone commenced the year with as bright prospects for long life, as himself, *he* still lives to mark their

departure; and if he has a heart properly trained, he cannot but indulge a lively gratitude to his Creator for being thus a spared monument of his mercy. It may be a source of much salutary reflection to him. Amid such evidence of the common fate of humanity, he is irresistibly led to inquire for man's future destiny—whether he is hereafter to be, and if so, whether that existence is to be happy or miserable.—Happy, indeed, is he who can thus part with friends, under the confident assurance in his own mind, that they are in the hands of an all-wise and good Being, through whose boundless grace and mercy, he, and they, and the whole family of man, shall finally meet in a purified and happy state, in heaven their eternal resting place and home.

But we will drop this theme. We would not throw too great a shade of melancholy over the parting scene, (from whatever cause that parting may arise,) with those still living, and with whom our intercourse now closes—perhaps forever. We have journeyed together, some a longer and some a shorter period, always, we hope upon good terms, and not altogether without profit, we trust, to us all. To ourselves there has been much to cheer and encourage us on our way. We have seen the cause in which we are engaged prospering almost beyond conception; and though many who have accompanied us through the whole, or a part, of our journey, may not have felt the same interest in its prosperity that we have, or have not been able to see the truth of our sentiments so clearly as we do, yet we confidently hope that they have become better acquainted with the doctrine, and are prepared to acknowledge that its precepts are good, however we, or others, may fail in its practice. We shall rejoice to renew the relation and intercourse at any future period, should it be permitted in the providence of God, and we cannot but hope to see some of them once more upon our list. For the present, however, we must give them the parting hand, and we do it with many thanks for whatever of countenance and encouragement they have shown us thus far, and with our most ardent desires for their continued usefulness in the world; wishing them, and all our readers, long life, virtue, and consequent happiness. P.

DEDICATION AT LONGRIDGE.

The new Universalist Church just completed at Long-Ridge, Ct. was on the 15th inst. dedicated, by appropriate religious services, to the worship of the One only living and true God, the Father and Savior of all men.

The services on the occasion were as follows. 1. Voluntary by the Choir. 2. Reading of select portions of the Sacred Scriptures, by Br. A. Case. 3. Hymn. 4. Introductory prayer, Br. Case. 5. Hymn. 6. Sermon, Br. T. J. Sawyer. 7. Dedicatory prayer, Br. S. J. Hillyer. 8. Hymn. 9. Concluding prayer, Br. Hitchcock. 10. Benediction. The weather was very fine and the house was filled with attentive and, we trust, devout hearers. A discourse was delivered in the afternoon by Br. Case, and another in the evening by Br. Sawyer, to very good audiences.

The cause of Universalism has had a being at Long-Ridge for near thirty years. Some years since Br. T. F. King, now of Portsmouth N. H. when located in this region labored a portion of his time at this place, and is still remembered with strong affection. Little more than two years ago Br. S. J. Hillyer visited them, and since that period has preached there frequently, and for the last twelve months regularly one quarter of the time. Last winter he held a discussion of three days length with the Rev. Thomas Payne, a Presbyterian, at Stanwich, about three miles distance from Longridge. The result was highly favorable to the cause of Universalism. The controversy was conducted with mutual Christian spirit, and ended as such controversies always will, in favor of truth.

During the past season the Society has been engaged in rearing a very neat and tasteful church, which will accommodate about three hundred people. It is pleasantly located, and is altogether such a house of worship as will meet the wants of the society.

Hereafter, Br. Hillyer is expected to preach each alternate Sunday at Longridge Ct. and North Salem N. Y. Both these societies are highly prosperous and warmly attached to their worthy and respected Pastor. We pray that the connexion now existing will long continue to the mutual benefit and happiness of the parties, and to the advancement of the cause of truth. S.

REV. JOEL PARKER—AGAIN.

Since the remarks of the New-Orleans editors towards this gentleman (of which we gave extracts a week or two

since,) have become a subject of general conversation, we find that some of the secular papers of this city are manifesting much anxiety to screen Mr. Parker from censure in the case, and to convince the Louisianians that he has been the very essence of kindness towards them in all his northern *per*igrinations.

Subsequent New-Orleans papers to those from which we extracted, contain farther particulars. The excitement ran so high that even Mr. Parker's society had a full meeting in which it was determined to write him immediately to know whether the account from the Connecticut Observer was correct, and if so, to advise him not to return, and desire a dissolution of the connexion between him and the society. An extract to this effect appeared in one of our morning papers, about the time of our last notice, coupled with the remark by the editors, that the extract (from the Observer,) had "created considerable sensation in New-Orleans, from its supposed bearing upon the subject of slavery"!! We think at the time, that the remark alluding to *slavery* in connexion with the subject, was a strange one, if the editors had seen the extract from the Observer, on which the animadversions of the New-Orleans editors were founded. We saw no possible way in which the question of Slavery could be connected with it. In our first notice we only gave the substance of it. But that the reader may see its whole "bearing," and see at the same time, in connexion with some circumstances below, what we regard as a studied attempt to screen Mr. P. from just censure in the case, by diverting attention from the main features of the transaction, we give the extract from the Connecticut Observer, entire, as published in the New-Orleans papers. It is as follows:

"NEW-ORLEANS.—The Rev. Joel Parker who has been lately settled over a church in New-Orleans, gave an interesting account of the state of things in that city, in the Lecture Room of the Centre Church last Tuesday evening.—New Orleans contains about 60,000 inhabitants, of these, 40,000 are Roman Catholics, and the remaining 20,000, Protestants. Of the Catholics, he says, that the men are almost without an exception, atheists. They regard religion as intended only for women and servants, and do not give themselves any trouble on the subject. The Protestants can hardly be said to be in a much better state. The Baptists, Methodists and Episcopalians have congregations there, but their religious instruction is very irregular, and the congregations themselves very feeble. Mr. Parker has a church of about seventy five members, with a respectable and increasing congregation. They are about erecting a large and handsome church. A very few thousand dollars are only wanting to complete the necessary sum for its erection, and a part of Mr. P.'s object in visiting the North, was to ask the assistance of Northern Christians. Much has been said of the moral condition of New Orleans, and from the character of those from whom the information came, we may justly conclude that the picture has not been overdrawn. A single fact will give us some idea of the manner in which the Sabbath is observed there. Military reviews are held every Sunday when the weather will permit, and the people seem to have no suspicion that there is any thing improper, or sinful in it. We have no time to notice that part of Mr. Parker's statement in which he speaks of the importance of establishing a permanent religious influence at New Orleans, nor is it necessary, since any one who looks at the situation and prospect of that city, might ask with the Rev. John Angel James of Birmingham, "What do American Christians mean, in doing nothing for New-Orleans?"

It can here be seen how much the question of "slavery" was agitated. But what is still farther suspicious in the business, is, within a few days another and widely different version is given of the affair by an evening paper, strongly devoted to the popular religious movements of the day.—By this paper, the account from the Connecticut Observer is pronounced an almost total *misrepresentation*. According to it, Mr. Parker has been particularly kind to the people of the South in all his intercourse at the north, and the citizens of New-Orleans are, actually, much indebted to

